UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,278	03/10/2004	Sadao Mori	029116.53329US	9383
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 08/22/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	Intensions Summans	MORI ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel M. Heinrich	3742					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Samuel M. Heinrich</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Attorney Mark Neblett</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 20 August 2008.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Art used in Final Rejection 04/30/2008.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Neblett described the instant claimed total reflection/transmission beam combining means. Examiner stated instant claim 4 defines over the prior art of record, however further search would be required. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Samuel M Heinrich/							